

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/930,907			Raymond L. Higgins	8677.00		
26889	7590	07/27/2005		EXAMINER		
MICHAEL NCR CORP		,	BASHORE, ALAIN L			
		RSON BLVD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DAYTON,	OH 4547	9-0001	1762			

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•									
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/930,90	7	HIGGINS ET AL.						
Office Action S	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Alain L. Ba		1762					
The MAILING DATE (Period for Reply	of this communication a	appears on the	cover sneet with the	· correspondence au	aress				
A SHORTENED STATUTO THE MAILING DATE OF TI - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified ab - Failure to reply within the set or exte Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See	HIS COMMUNICATION under the provisions of 37 CFR ing date of this communication. e is less than thirty (30) days, a love, the maximum statutory perinded period for reply will, by star than three months after the maximum states.	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statu iod will apply and wi atute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timel om the mailing date of this constant NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status				•					
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on 12	2 May 2 <u>005</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 3-6 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is ob	jected to by the Exam	iner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
Replacement drawing s 11) The oath or declaration		•							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119)								
2.☐ Certified copies 3.☐ Copies of the c	e) None of: s of the priority docume s of the priority docume ertified copies of the p n the International Bur	ents have bee ents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica ents have been recei e 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTC			4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date		08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/930,907

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

In view of the appeal brief filed on, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY
 REOPENED. A new grounds of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/930,907

Art Unit: 1762

3. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geer in view of (Bijnagte and Schmidt et al) in further view of Cahill.

Geer discloses a method of operating an electronic check presentment with image interchange system. There is created a number of electronic bundle files based upon captured MICR data associated with items. Cash letter files and captured image data (that is also stored) associated with the items that are processed (col 8, lines 59-67). The files are taught as being combined to create an image presentment file suitable for sending from a sending bank to a receiving bank (col 9, lines 1-9).

Geer et al does not explicitly disclose:

creation of first and second temporary lists that are merged to create a merged list for creating an image presentment file;

generating and storing index data based upon captured MICR data;

creating an electronic bundle file based upon retrieved indexing data and retrieved MICR data;

Bijnagte discloses creation of first and second temporary lists that are merged to create a merged list for creating an image presentment file (col 17, lines 15-29).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include creation of first and second temporary lists that are merged to create a merged list for creating an image presentment file because Bijnagte teaches the need of temporary lists for merging purposes of data and image files (col 7, lines 17-36) and Schmidt et al teaches merging of data and images to make forms and that forms are common practice (col 1, lines 6-24 and lines 44-61).

Cahill et al discloses generating and storing index data based upon captured MICR data (col 28, lines 47-67; col 29, lines 1-9), and creating an electronic bundle file based upon retrieved indexing data and retrieved MICR data (col 27, lines 30-39).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include generating and storing index data based upon captured MICR data because Cahill et al discloses advantages of retrieval (col 29, lines 4-9).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include creating an electronic bundle file based upon retrieved indexing data and retrieved MICR data because Cahill et al. teaches advantages of bundles (col 27, lines 35-39).

4. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geer in view of (Bijnagte and Schmidt et al) in further view of Cahill as applied to claims above, and further in view of Jones et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/930,907

Art Unit: 1762

Geer, Bijnagte, Schmidt et al, and Cahill et al do not disclose: retrieving from the image repository any image data that is missing from the merged list.

Jones et al discloses retrieving from the image repository any image data that is missing from the merged list (col 12, lines 40-46).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include retrieving from the image repository any image data that is missing from the merged list because Jones et al teaches failure occurrences (col 12, lines 40-46).

Conclusion

- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).
- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Regarding all Class 705 applications, the management contact regarding examination is: Vincent Millin (SPE, art unit 3624) at 571-272-6747.

Application/Control Number: 09/930,907 Page 6

Art Unit: 1762

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1762